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#### Abstract

Let $U$ be a finite dimensional linear space of continuously differentiable functions, containing constants. We prove that $U^{\prime}$, the space of derivatives, is a $W T$-space iff $U$ has a basis $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ that is a complete $W T$-system with $u_{0} \equiv 1$.


In this article we consider the problem of determining when the space of derivatives of a $W T$-space is itself a $W T$-space. This problem is solved by employing a concept introduced by Zielke [3, Chap. 8], that of oscillation spaces, which we define subsequently.

Let $U$ be a linear space of real-valued functions defined on a real interval. We call $U$ a $W T$-space (for "weak Tchebysheff") if $U$ has a basis, a $W T$ system, $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ such that for all $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n-1}, \operatorname{det}\left\{u_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=0}^{n-1} \geqslant 0 . U$ is a $W T$-space iff no element has more than $n-1$ sign changes, $n$ being the dimension of $U$. If $\operatorname{det}\left\{u_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=0}^{n-1}$ is positive for all $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n-1}$ then we call $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ a $T$-system. For these and related notions see [3].

A standard technique in dealing with continuous $W T$-systems is the method of smoothing [2, p. 40]. For continuous $u$ we form the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \varepsilon} \int_{a}^{b} e^{-(t-x)^{2} / 2 \varepsilon^{2}} u(x) d x, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ uniformly in ( $a, b$ ) as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. By extrapolating $u$ linearly outside $[a, b]$ and performing the integral over a slightly larger interval we can get uniform convergence of $u^{\varepsilon}$ in all of $[a, b]$. The usefulness of this technique lies in the fact that if $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ is a $W T$-system then $\left\{u_{0}^{\ell}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\ell}\right\}$ is a $T$ -

[^0]system. Thus every continuous $W T$-system can be uniformly approximated by $T$-systems of analytic functions.

If $u_{0}>0$ then $u_{0}^{\varepsilon}$ are bounded away from zero for $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$. If $u_{0} \equiv 1$ then we may define for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}^{\varepsilon} \equiv 1, \quad v_{i}^{\varepsilon}=u_{i}^{\varepsilon} / u_{0}^{\varepsilon} \quad(i=1 \text { to } n-1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One easily checks that $v_{i}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{i}$ uniformly as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, that is, if $u_{0} \equiv 1$ then we may approximate $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ by $T$-systems $\left\{v_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ for which $v_{0}^{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$ for all $\varepsilon>0$.
(3) Definition. $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ is called a complete ( $W$ )T-system if $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{i}\right\}$ is a $(W) T$-system for $i=0$ to $n-1$.

If $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ is a complete $W T$-system then $\left\{u_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ defined as in (1) forms a complete $T$-system.
(4) Definition. We will call a (weak) Markov basis a complete (W)Tsystem $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ with $u_{0} \equiv 1$.
(5) Definition. A function $f$ is said to have an oscillation of length $k$ if there are points $x_{1}<\cdots<x_{k}$ and $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ such that

$$
\varepsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)>0 \quad(i=1 \text { to } k-1)
$$

(6) Definition. An $n$-dimensional linear space $U$ of real-valued functions is called an oscillation space if no $u \in U$ has an oscillation of length $n+1$.

The following theorem characterizes $T$-spaces that are oscillation spaces.
(7) Theorem [3, Theorem 8.8]. If $U$ is a $T$-space with constants then $U$ is an oscillation space iff $U$ has a Markov basis.

In fact, one can also prove the following theorem.
(8) Theorem. Every continuous oscillation space on $[a, b]$ contains constants.

Proof. Let $U$ be a continuous oscillation space; then $U$ is clearly a $W T$ space. If $1 \notin U$ then by $[1$, Theorem 1] there is an element $u \in U$ such that $1-u$ alternates $n$ times-in other words, there are points $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n}$ and $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ such that $\varepsilon(-1)^{i}\left(1-u\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=\max _{[a, b]}|1-u(x)|(i=0$ to $n)$. But then $u$ has an oscillation of length $n+1$ in $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, a contradiction. Hence we must conclude that $1 \in U$.
(9) Theorem [3, Theorem 8.3]. Every n-dimensional oscillation space with constants contains an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional oscillation space with constants.
(10) Corollary. Every continuous oscillation space has a weak Markov basis.

Proof. This follows directly from the previous two theorems.
We can now prove our first characterization theorem, about oscillation spaces.
(11) Theorem. A finite dimensional linear space of continuous, realvalued functions is an oscillation space iff it has a weak Markov basis.

Proof. If $U$ is an oscillation space then (10) implies that $U$ has a weak Markov basis. Conversely, suppose that $U$ has such a basis. We may then smooth and form the function $v_{i}^{e}$ as in (2). For each $\varepsilon>0,\left\{v_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{e}\right\}$ is then a Markov basis and $v_{i}^{6} \rightarrow u_{i}$ uniformly, where $\left\{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ is our original weak Markov basis for $U$. If some $u \in U$ had an oscillation of length $n+1$ then so too would $u^{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. But this contradicts (7); hence $U$ is an oscillation space.
(12) Theorem. Let $U$ be a finite dimensional linear space of continuously differentiable functions, which contains constants. Then $U^{\prime}$, the space of derivatives, is a $W T$-space iff $U$ is an oscillation space.

Proof. Assume that $U$ has dimension $n$; since $U$ contains constants $U^{\prime}$ has dimension $n-1$. Now suppose that $U^{\prime}$ is a $W T$-space. If some $u \in U$ had an oscillation of length $n+1$, say, $x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n+1}$ such that

$$
(-1)^{i}\left(u\left(x_{i+1}\right)-u\left(x_{i}\right)\right)>0 \quad(i=1 \text { to } n),
$$

then by the mean-value theorem we could find points $x_{i}<\eta_{i}<x_{i+1}$ such that $(-1)^{i} u^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)>0\left(i=1\right.$ to $n$ ). Thus $u^{\prime}$ has $n-1$ sign changes, contradicting the assumption that $U^{\prime}$ is a $W T$-space. Conversely, suppose that some $u^{i} \in U^{\prime}$ has $n-1$ sign changes. Then there are points $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n}$ such that, for some $\varepsilon= \pm 1$,

$$
\varepsilon(-1)^{i} u^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \quad\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)(i=1 \text { to } n)
$$

and $u^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ on a subinterval of each $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$. Hence the function

$$
u(x)=u\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} u^{\prime}(t) d t \in U
$$

has an oscillation of length $n+1$ at $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ so that $U$ is not an oscillation space. This completes the theorem.
(13) Corollary. Let $U$ be as in (12). Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $U$ is an oscillation space,
(2) U has a weak Markov basis,
(3) $U^{\prime}$ is a WT-space.

We close with an example.
(14) Example. The following example, from Zielke [3, p. 44], is of a $T$ space with no Markov basis. It can be shown [4] that in this case no weak Markov basis exists either. We demonstrate that the space of derivatives is not a $W T$-space.

Let $\quad u_{0}(t) \equiv 1, \quad u_{1}(t)=t(1-t) \quad$ and $\quad u_{2}(t)=(1-t)\left(t^{2}-1\right) . \quad$ Then $U=s p\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is a $T$-space (and hence a $W T$-space) on $[-1,1]$. Zielke proves that $U$ contains no 2-dimensional $T$-space and hence has no Markov basis. We have $U^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $u_{1}^{\prime}(t)=1-2 t, u_{2}^{\prime}(t)=(1-t)(1+3 t)$. If we choose $t_{1}=0, t_{2}=1 / 2$ then we get for $u_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u_{2}^{\prime}$

$$
U^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1, & 2 \\
t_{1}, & t_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 5 / 4
\end{array}\right|=5 / 4>0
$$

while the choice $t_{1}=-1, t_{2}=1$ yields $\left|{ }_{-4}^{2-1}{ }_{0}^{\mathbf{1}}\right|=-4<0$. Since the determinant is a continuous function of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, it remains negative for $t_{1}=-1+\varepsilon, t_{2}=1-\varepsilon$ for small $\varepsilon>0$. Hence $U^{\prime}$ has no basis that is a WTsystem on $(-1,1)$; that is, $U^{\prime}$ is not a $W T$-space on $(-1,1)$.
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